arXiv:1406.3794v2 [math.RA] 3 Feb 2015

Self-Dual Abelian Codes over Galois Rings

Somphong Jitman[a,*], San Ling[b]

[a] *Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Silpakorn University, Nakhon Pathom 73000, Thailand*
[b] *Division of Mathematical Sciences, School of Physical and Mathematical Sciences, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore 637371, Republic of Singapore*

Abstract

In this paper, we study Euclidean and Hermitian self-dual abelian codes in $\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s)[G]$, a group ring of a finite abelian group G over a Galois ring $\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s)$. Characterizations of such self-dual codes are given together with necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of a Euclidean self-dual abelian code or a Hermitian self-dual abelian code in $\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s)[G]$. The enumeration formulas of such self-dual codes are established. However, the formulas contain terms which have not been well studied. In the case where $\gcd(|G|, p) = 1$, we determine explicitly the numbers of Euclidean and Hermitian self-dual abelian codes in $\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s)[G]$. Applying known results on cyclic codes of length p^a over $\mathrm{GR}(p^2, s)$, we arrive at explicit formulas for the numbers of Euclidean and Hermitian self-dual abelian codes in $\mathrm{GR}(p^2, s)[G]$, where the Sylow p-subgroup of G is cyclic. The analog results for Euclidean and Hermitian self-dual cyclic codes over Galois rings are therefore obtained as corollaries.

Keywords: Abelian codes, Galois rings, Self-dual codes, Codes over rings
2010 MSC: 94B15, 94B60, 16A26

1. Introduction

Algebraically structured and self-dual codes are important families of linear codes that have been extensively studied for both theoretical and practical

*Corresponding author
Email addresses: sjitman@gmail.com (Somphong Jitman), lingsan@ntu.edu.sg (San Ling)

reasons (see [1],[9], [10], [11], [16], [19] and references therein). Codes over finite rings have been interesting since it was proven that some binary non-linear codes, such as the Kerdock, Preparata, and Goethal codes are the Gray images of linear codes over $\mathbb{Z}_4$ [8]. Algebraically structured codes such as cyclic, constacyclic, and abelian codes have extensively been studied over $\mathbb{Z}_{p^r}$, Galois rings, and finite chain rings in general (see [5],[15], and references therein).

The characterization and enumeration of Euclidean self-dual cyclic codes over finite fields have been established in [9] and generalized to Euclidean and Hermitian self-dual abelian codes over finite fields in [10] and [11], respectively. Over some finite rings, a characterization of self-dual cyclic, constacyclic and abelian codes has been done (see, for example, [1], [5],[13], [14], [18], and [19]). In [1], the enumeration of Euclidean self-dual simple root cyclic codes over finite chain rings has been discussed. However, in the literature, not much work has been done for the enumerations of Euclidean self-dual repeated root cyclic codes, Euclidean self-dual abelian codes, and Hermitian self-dual codes over rings.

In this paper, we focus on abelian codes over Galois rings $\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s)$, *i.e.,* ideals in the group ring $\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s)[G]$ of an abelian group G over a Galios ring $\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s)$. Specifically, we study Euclidean and Hermitian self-dual abelian codes in $\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s)[G]$. We characterize such self-dual codes and determine necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of a Euclidean self-dual abelian code or a Hermitian self-dual abelian code in $\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s)[G]$. We give formulas for both the numbers of Euclidean and Hermitian self-dual abelian codes in $\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s)[G]$. However, the formulas contain terms which have not been well studied. Under the restriction *i)* $\gcd(|G|, p) = 1$; or *ii)* $r = 2$ and the Sylow p-subgroup of G is cyclic, the numbers of such self-dual abelian codes in $\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s)[G]$ are explicitly determined.

We note that the Hermitian duality is meaningful only when s is even. Since we study Euclidean and Hermitian self-dual codes in parallel, the assumption that s is even is included whenever we refer to the Hermitian duality.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall and prove some basic results for group rings, abelian codes, and their duals. In Section 3,

we present the characterizations and a general set up for the enumerations of Euclidean self-dual and Hermitian self-dual abelian codes in $\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s)[G]$. In Section 4, complete enumerations of Euclidean and Hermitian self-dual abelian codes in $\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s)[G]$ are given in the special cases where *i)* $\gcd(p, |G|) = 1$; and *ii)* $r = 2$ and the Sylow p-subgroup of G is cyclic. A conclusion is given in Section 5.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we recall some definitions and basic properties of abelian codes and prove some results on their Euclidean and Hermitian duals.

2.1. Abelian Codes

For a finite commutative ring R with identity and a finite abelian group G, written additively, let $R[G]$ denote the *group ring* of G over R. The elements in $R[G]$ will be written as $\sum_{g\in G} \alpha_g Y^g$, where $\alpha_g \in R$. The addition and the multiplication in $R[G]$ are given as in the usual polynomial rings over R with the indeterminate Y, where the indices are computed additively in G. By convention, $Y^0 = 1$ is the identity of R, where 0 is the identity of G.

An *abelian code* in $R[G]$ is defined to be an ideal of $R[G]$. If G is cyclic, this code becomes a cyclic code. For this case, an abelian code will be referred to as a *cyclic code*. It is well known that cyclic codes of length n over R can also be regarded as ideals in the quotient polynomial ring $R[X]/\langle X^n - 1\rangle$.

From now on, we focus on the case where the ring is a Galois ring $\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s)$, a Galois extension of degree s of an integer residue ring $\mathbb{Z}_{p^r}$. Let ξ be an element in $\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s)$ that generates a Teichmüller set $\mathcal{T}_s$ of $\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s)$. In other words, $\mathcal{T}_s = \{0, 1, \xi, \xi^2, \ldots, \xi^{p^s-2}\}$. Then every element in $\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s)$ has a unique p-adic expansion of the form

$$\alpha = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 p + \cdots + \alpha_{r-1} p^{r-1},$$

where $\alpha_i \in \mathcal{T}_s$ for all $i = 0, 1, \ldots, r-1$. If s is even, let $\bar{}$ denote the automorphism on $\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s)$ defined by

$$\overline{\alpha} = \alpha_0^{p^{s/2}} + \alpha_1^{p^{s/2}} p + \cdots + \alpha_{r-1}^{p^{s/2}} p^{r-1}. \tag{2.1}$$

For more details concerning Galois rings, we refer the reader to [20].

Assume that G is decomposed as $G = A \oplus P$, where P is the Sylow p-subgroup of G and A is a complementary subgroup of P in G. Let $\mathcal{R} := \mathrm{GR}(p^r, s)[A]$. Then the map $\Phi : \mathrm{GR}(p^r, s)[G] \to \mathcal{R}[P]$ given by

$$\Phi(\sum_{a\in A}\sum_{b\in P} \alpha_{a+b} Y^{a+b}) = \sum_{b\in P} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_b(Y) Y^b,$$

where $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_b(Y) = \sum_{a\in A} \alpha_{a+b} Y^a \in \mathcal{R}$, is a well-known ring isomorphism.

Lemma 2.1. *The map Φ induces a one-to-one correspondence between abelian codes in $\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s)[G]$ and abelian codes in $\mathcal{R}[P]$.*

An abelian code $\mathcal{C}$ in $\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s)[G]$ is said to be *Euclidean self-dual* if $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}^{\perp_{\mathrm{E}}}$, where $\mathcal{C}^{\perp_{\mathrm{E}}}$ is the dual of $\mathcal{C}$ with respect to the form

$$\langle \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v} \rangle_{\mathrm{E}} := \sum_{g\in G} \alpha_g \beta_g,$$

where $\boldsymbol{u} = \sum_{g\in G} \alpha_g Y^g$ and $\boldsymbol{v} = \sum_{g\in G} \beta_g Y^g$.

Define an *involution* $\widehat{\ }$ on $\mathcal{R}$ to be the $\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s)$-module homomorphism that fixes $\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s)$ and sends Y^a to Y^{-a} for all $a \in A$. An abelian code D in $\mathcal{R}[P]$ is said to be $\widehat{\ }$*-self-dual* if $D = D^{\perp\widehat{\ }}$, where $D^{\perp\widehat{\ }}$ is the dual of D with respect to the form

$$\langle \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y} \rangle_{\widehat{\ }} := \sum_{b\in P} \boldsymbol{x}_b(Y) \widehat{\boldsymbol{y}_b(Y)},$$

where $\boldsymbol{x} = \sum_{b\in P} \boldsymbol{x}_b(Y) Y^b$ and $\boldsymbol{y} = \sum_{b\in P} \boldsymbol{y}_b(Y) Y^b$.

In addition, if s is even, an abelian code $\mathcal{C}$ in $\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s)[G]$ is said to be *Hermitian self-dual* if $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}^{\perp_{\mathrm{H}}}$, where $\mathcal{C}^{\perp_{\mathrm{H}}}$ is the dual of $\mathcal{C}$ with respect to the form

$$\langle \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v} \rangle_{\mathrm{H}} := \sum_{g\in G} \alpha_g \overline{\beta_g},$$

where $\boldsymbol{u} = \sum_{g\in G} \alpha_g Y^g$ and $\boldsymbol{v} = \sum_{g\in G} \beta_g Y^g$.

Define an *involution* $\widetilde{\ }$ on $\mathcal{R}$ to be the $\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s)$-module homomorphism that sends α to $\overline{\alpha}$ for all $\alpha \in \mathrm{GR}(p^r, s)$ and sends Y^a to Y^{-a} for all $a \in A$. An abelian code D in $\mathcal{R}[P]$ is said to be $\sim$*-self-dual* if $D = D^{\perp_\sim}$, where $D^{\perp_\sim}$ is the dual of D with respect to the form

$$\langle \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y} \rangle_\sim := \sum_{b\in P} \boldsymbol{x}_b(Y)\widetilde{\boldsymbol{y}_b(Y)},$$

where $\boldsymbol{x} = \sum_{b\in P} \boldsymbol{x}_b(Y)Y^b$ and $\boldsymbol{y} = \sum_{b\in P} \boldsymbol{y}_b(Y)Y^b$.

Similar to the finite field case, the following relations among the above forms can be verified using arguments similar to those in [10, Proposition 2.4] and [11, Proposition 2.4].

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v} \in \mathrm{GR}(p^r, s)[G]$. Then the following statements hold.*

i) $\langle Y^g\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}\rangle_{\mathrm{E}} = 0$ *for all* $g \in G$ *if and only if* $\langle Y^b\Phi(\boldsymbol{u}), \Phi(\boldsymbol{v})\rangle_{\frown} = 0$ *for all* $b \in P$.

ii) $\langle Y^g\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}\rangle_{\mathrm{H}} = 0$ *for all* $g \in G$ *if and only if* $\langle Y^b\Phi(\boldsymbol{u}), \Phi(\boldsymbol{v})\rangle_{\sim} = 0$ *for all* $b \in P$.

The next corollary follows.

Corollary 2.3. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an abelian code in $\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s)[G]$. Then the following statements hold.*

i) $\Phi(\mathcal{C})^{\perp_{\frown}} = \Phi(\mathcal{C}^{\perp_{\mathrm{E}}})$. *In particular, $\mathcal{C}$ is Euclidean self-dual if and only if $\Phi(\mathcal{C})$ is $\frown$-self-dual.*

ii) $\Phi(\mathcal{C})^{\perp_\sim} = \Phi(\mathcal{C}^{\perp_{\mathrm{H}}})$. *In particular, $\mathcal{C}$ is Hermitian self-dual if and only if $\Phi(\mathcal{C})$ is $\sim$-self-dual.*

Therefore, to study Euclidean and Hermitian self-dual abelian codes in $\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s)[G]$, it is sufficient to consider $\frown$-self-dual and $\sim$-self-dual abelian codes in $\mathcal{R}[P]$, respectively.

We aim to characterize and enumerate Euclidean and Hermitian self-dual cyclic and abelian codes over Galois rings. For convenience, we fix the following notations.

- $NC(\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s), n)$ – the number of cyclic codes of length n over $\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s)$,
- $NEC(\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s), n)$ – the number of Euclidean self-dual cyclic codes of length n over $\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s)$,
- $NHC(\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s), n)$ – the number of Hermitian self-dual cyclic codes of length n over $\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s)$,
- $NA(\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s)[G])$ – the number of abelian codes in $\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s)[G]$,
- $NEA(\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s)[G])$ – the number of Euclidean self-dual abelian codes in $\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s)[G]$,
- $NHA(\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s)[G])$ – the number of Hermitian self-dual abelian codes in $\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s)[G]$.

2.2. Decomposition and Dualities

Recall that p represents a prime number, s is a positive integer, and A is a finite abelian group with $\gcd(p, |A|) = 1$.

For positive integers i, j with $\gcd(i, j) = 1$, let $\mathrm{ord}_i(j)$ denote the multiplicative order of j modulo i. For $a \in A$, denote by $\mathrm{ord}(a)$ the additive order of a in A.

For each $a \in A$, a *p^s-cyclotomic class* of A containing a, denoted by $S_{p^s}(a)$, is defined to be the set

$$S_{p^s}(a) := \{p^{si} \cdot a \mid i = 0, 1, \dots\} = \{p^{si} \cdot a \mid 0 \le i < \mathrm{ord}_{\mathrm{ord}(a)}(p^s)\},$$

where $p^{si} \cdot a := \sum_{j=1}^{p^{si}} a$ in A.

A p^s-cyclotomic class $S_{p^s}(a)$ is said to be of *type* I if $a = -a$, *type* II if $S_{p^s}(a) = S_{p^s}(-a)$ and $a \neq -a$, or *type* III if $S_{p^s}(-a) \neq S_{p^s}(a)$.

If s is even, a p^s-cyclotomic class $S_{p^s}(a)$ is said to be of *type* II′ if $S_{p^s}(a) = S_{p^s}(-p^{s/2} \cdot a)$ or *type* III′ if $S_{p^s}(-p^{s/2} \cdot a) \neq S_q(a)$, where $-p^{s/2} \cdot a$ denotes $p^{s/2} \cdot (-a)$.

Remark 2.4. We have the following facts for p^s-cyclotomic classes of A (see [10, Remark 2.5] and [11, Remark 2.6]).

1. A p^s-cyclotomic class of type I has cardinality one.
2. $S_{p^s}(0)$ is a p^s-cyclotomic class of both types I and II′.
3. If a p^s-cyclotomic class of type II exists, then its cardinality is even. Moreover, if $S_{p^s}(a)$ is a p^s-cyclotomic class of type II of cardinality 2ν, then $-a = p^{s\nu} \cdot a$.
4. A p^s-cyclotomic class of A of type II′ has odd cardinality. Moreover, if $S_{p^s}(a)$ is a p^s-cyclotomic class of type II′ of cardinality ν, then $-a = p^{s\nu/2} \cdot a$ and $-p^{s/2} \cdot a = p^{s(\nu+1)/2} \cdot a$.

Assume that A has cardinality m and exponent M. By the Fundamental Theorem of finite abelian groups, A can be written as a direct product of finite cyclic groups $A = \prod_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{Z}_{m_i}$, where $\mathbb{Z}_{m_i} = \{0, 1, \ldots, m_i - 1\}$ denotes the additive cyclic group of order $m_i \geq 2$ for all $1 \leq i \leq N$. Then an element $b \in A$ can be written as $b = (b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_N)$, where $b_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{m_i}$. For each $h \in A$, let $\gamma_h : A \to \mathbb{Z}$ be defined by

$$\gamma_h(b) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} b_i h_i (M/m_i), \tag{2.2}$$

where the sum is a rational sum.

Let μ be the order of p^s modulo M. Denote by ζ a primitive Mth root of unity in $\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s\mu)$. For a given $\boldsymbol{c} = \sum_{a \in A} c_a Y^a \in \mathcal{R} := \mathrm{GR}(p^r, s)[A]$, its Discrete Fourier Transform (DFT) is $\breve{\boldsymbol{c}} = \sum_{h \in A} \breve{c}_h Y^h$, where

$$\breve{c}_h = \sum_{a \in A} c_a \zeta^{\gamma_h(a)} \in \mathrm{GR}(p^r, s\mu). \tag{2.3}$$

Moreover, if $S_{p^s}(h)$ has cardinality ν, then it is not difficult to verify that $\breve{c}_h$ is contained in a subring of $\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s\mu)$ which is isomorphic to $\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s\nu)$.

Using this DFT, the decomposition of $\mathcal{R} := \mathrm{GR}(p^r, s)[A]$, where $\gcd(p, |A|) = 1$, has been given in [15] in terms of the mix-radix representation of the elements

in A. In order to utilize the decomposition in [15] for characterizing self-dual codes, we need to consider a rearrangement of the terms in the decomposition.

2.2.1. Euclidean Case

For the Euclidean self-duality, we consider the rearrangement based on the p^s-cyclotomic classes of types $\mathrm{I} - \mathbb{III}$ as follows. Assume that A contains L p^s-cyclotomic classes. Without loss of generality, let $\{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_L\}$ be a set of representatives of the p^s-cyclotomic classes such that $\{a_i \mid i = 1, 2, \ldots, t_{\mathrm{I}}\}$, $\{a_{t_{\mathrm{I}}+j} \mid j = 1, 2, \ldots, t_{\mathrm{II}}\}$ and $\{a_{t_{\mathrm{I}}+t_{\mathrm{II}}+k}, a_{t_{\mathrm{I}}+t_{\mathrm{II}}+t_{\mathrm{III}}+k} = -a_{t_{\mathrm{I}}+t_{\mathrm{II}}+k} \mid k = 1, 2, \ldots, t_{\mathrm{III}}\}$ are sets of representatives of p^s-cyclotomic classes of types I, II, and $\mathbb{III}$, respectively, where $L = t_{\mathrm{I}} + t_{\mathrm{II}} + 2t_{\mathrm{III}}$. From the definition, $|S_{p^s}(a_i)| = 1$ for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots, t_{\mathrm{I}}$. For $j = 1, 2, \ldots, t_{\mathrm{II}}$, let $2e_j$ denote the cardinality of $S_{p^s}(a_{t_{\mathrm{I}}+j})$. For $k = 1, 2, \ldots, t_{\mathrm{III}}$, $S_{p^s}(a_{t_{\mathrm{I}}+t_{\mathrm{II}}+k})$ and $S_{p^s}(a_{t_{\mathrm{I}}+t_{\mathrm{II}}+t_{\mathrm{III}}+k})$ have the same cardinality and denote it by f_k.

Rearranging the terms in the decomposition in [15] based on the p^s-cyclotomic classes of A of types $\mathrm{I} - \mathbb{III}$, we have

$$\mathcal{R} \cong \left(\prod_{i=1}^{t_{\mathrm{I}}} \mathrm{GR}(p^r, s)\right) \times \left(\prod_{j=1}^{t_{\mathrm{II}}} \mathrm{GR}(p^r, 2se_j)\right) \times \left(\prod_{k=1}^{t_{\mathrm{III}}} (\mathrm{GR}(p^r, sf_k) \times \mathrm{GR}(p^r, sf_k))\right), \tag{E1}$$

where $\mathrm{GR}(p^r, 2se_j)$ is induced by $S_{p^s}(a_{t_{\mathrm{I}}+j})$ and $\mathrm{GR}(p^r, sf_k) \times \mathrm{GR}(p^r, sf_k)$ is induced by $(S_{p^s}(a_{t_{\mathrm{I}}+t_{\mathrm{II}}+k}), S_{p^s}(-a_{t_{\mathrm{I}}+t_{\mathrm{II}}+k}))$ for all $j = 1, 2, \ldots, t_{\mathrm{II}}$ and $k = 1, 2, \ldots, t_{\mathrm{III}}$. For more details and the explicit isomorphism, the readers may refer to [15, Section II].

It follows that

$$\mathcal{R}[P] \cong \left(\prod_{i=1}^{t_{\mathrm{I}}} \mathrm{GR}(p^r, s)[P]\right) \times \left(\prod_{j=1}^{t_{\mathrm{II}}} \mathrm{GR}(p^r, 2se_j)[P]\right) \times \left(\prod_{k=1}^{t_{\mathrm{III}}} (\mathrm{GR}(p^r, sf_k)[P] \times \mathrm{GR}(p^r, sf_k)[P])\right). \tag{E2}$$

Therefore, by Lemma 2.1, every abelian code in $\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s)[G] \cong \mathcal{R}[P]$ can be written in the form

$$\mathcal{C} \cong \left(\prod_{i=1}^{t_{\mathrm{I}}} U_i\right) \times \left(\prod_{j=1}^{t_{\mathrm{II}}} V_j\right) \times \left(\prod_{k=1}^{t_{\mathrm{III}}} (W_k \times W_k')\right), \tag{E3}$$

where U_i is an abelian code in $\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s)[P]$, V_j is an abelian code in $\mathrm{GR}(p^r, 2se_j)[P]$, and W_k, W_k' are abelian codes in $\mathrm{GR}(p^r, sf_k)[P]$ for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots, t_{\mathrm{I}}$, $j = 1, 2, \ldots, t_{\mathrm{II}}$, and $k = 1, 2, \ldots, t_{\mathrm{III}}$.

The Euclidean dual of $\mathcal{C}$ in (E3) can be viewed to be of the form

$$\mathcal{C}^{\perp_{\mathrm{E}}} \cong \left(\prod_{i=1}^{t_{\mathrm{I}}} U_i^{\perp_{\mathrm{E}}}\right) \times \left(\prod_{j=1}^{t_{\mathrm{II}}} V_j^{\perp_{\mathrm{H}}}\right) \times \left(\prod_{k=1}^{t_{\mathrm{III}}} \left((W_k')^{\perp_{\mathrm{E}}} \times W_k^{\perp_{\mathrm{E}}}\right)\right). \tag{E4}$$

The detailed justification for (E4) is provided in Appendix A.1.

2.2.2. Hermitian Case

In the case where s is even, we consider the other rearrangement of the decomposition of $\mathcal{R}$ in terms of the p^s-cyclotomic classes of A of types II' and III'. Let $\{b_1 = 0, b_2, \ldots, b_L\}$ denote a set of representatives of the p^s-cyclotomic classes such that $\{b_j \mid j = 1, 2, \ldots, t_{\mathrm{II}'}\}$ and $\{b_{t_{\mathrm{II}'}+k}, b_{t_{\mathrm{II}'}+t_{\mathrm{III}'}+k} = -p^{s/2} \cdot b_{t_{\mathrm{II}'}+k} \mid k = 1, 2, \ldots, t_{\mathrm{III}'}\}$ represent p^s-cyclotomic classes of types II' and III', respectively, where $L = t_{\mathrm{II}'} + 2t_{\mathrm{III}'}$. For $j = 1, 2, \ldots, t_{\mathrm{II}'}$, let $\acute{e}_j$ denote the cardinality of $S_{p^s}(b_j)$. For $k = 1, 2, \ldots, t_{\mathrm{III}'}$, $S_{p^s}(b_{t_{\mathrm{II}'}+k})$ and $S_{p^s}(b_{t_{\mathrm{II}'}+t_{\mathrm{III}'}+k})$ have the same cardinality and denote it by $\acute{f}_k$.

Rearranging the terms in the decomposition in [15] based on the p^s-cyclotomic classes of A of types II' and III', we have

$$\mathcal{R} \cong \left(\prod_{j=1}^{t_{\mathrm{II}'}} \mathrm{GR}(p^r, s\acute{e}_j)\right) \times \left(\prod_{k=1}^{t_{\mathrm{III}'}} \left(\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s\acute{f}_k) \times \mathrm{GR}(p^r, s\acute{f}_k)\right)\right), \tag{H1}$$

where $\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s\acute{e}_j)$ is induced by $S_{p^s}(b_j)$ and $\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s\acute{f}_k) \times \mathrm{GR}(p^r, s\acute{f}_k)$ is induced by $\left(S_{p^s}(b_{t_{\mathrm{II}'}+k}), S_{p^s}(-p^{s/2} \cdot b_{t_{\mathrm{II}'}+k})\right)$ for all $j = 1, 2, \ldots, t_{\mathrm{II}'}$ and $k = 1, 2, \ldots, t_{\mathrm{III}'}$.

Consequently,

$$\mathcal{R}[P] \cong \left(\prod_{j=1}^{t_{\mathrm{II}'}} \mathrm{GR}(p^r, s\acute{e}_j)[P]\right) \times \left(\prod_{k=1}^{t_{\mathrm{III}'}} \left(\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s\acute{f}_k)[P] \times \mathrm{GR}(p^r, s\acute{f}_k)[P]\right)\right), \tag{H2}$$

and, by Lemma 2.1, every abelian code in $\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s)[G] \cong \mathcal{R}[P]$ can be viewed as

$$\mathcal{C} \cong \left(\prod_{j=1}^{t_{\mathrm{II}'}} E_j\right) \times \left(\prod_{k=1}^{t_{\mathrm{III}'}} (F_k \times F'_k)\right), \tag{H3}$$

where E_j is an abelian code in $\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s\acute{e}_j)[P]$ and F_k, F'_k are abelian codes in $\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s\acute{f}_k)[P]$ for all $j = 1, 2, \ldots, t_{\mathrm{II}'}$ and $k = 1, 2, \ldots, t_{\mathrm{III}'}$.

Then the Hermitian dual of $\mathcal{C}$ in (H3) has the form

$$\mathcal{C}^{\perp_{\mathrm{H}}} \cong \left(\prod_{j=1}^{t_{\mathrm{II}'}} E_j^{\perp_{\mathrm{H}}}\right) \times \left(\prod_{k=1}^{t_{\mathrm{III}'}} \left((F'_k)^{\perp_{\mathrm{E}}} \times F_k^{\perp_{\mathrm{E}}}\right)\right). \tag{H4}$$

The detailed discussion for (H4) is provided in Appendix A.2.

3. Self-Dual Abelian Codes in $\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s)[G]$

In this section, we characterize and enumerate Euclidean and Hermitian self-dual abelian codes in $\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s)[G]$. We determine necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of self-dual abelian codes in $\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s)[G]$ follwed by general results for the enumeration of such self-dual codes. However, the enumeration formulas involve some unknown terms. The complete enumeration in some cases will be provided in the next section.

3.1. The Existence of Self-Dual Abelian Codes

The characterizations of Euclidean and Hermitian self-dual abelian codes in $\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s)[G]$ are given as follows.

From (E3) and (E4), we conclude the next proposition.

Proposition 3.1. *Let r and s be positive integers. An abelian code $\mathcal{C}$ in $\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s)[G]$ is Euclidean self-dual if and only if, in the decomposition (E3),*

i) U_i is Euclidean self-dual for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots, t_{\mathrm{I}}$,

ii) V_j is Hermitian self-dual for all $j = 1, 2, \ldots, t_{\mathrm{II}}$, and

iii) $W'_k = W_k^{\perp_{\mathrm{E}}}$ for all $k = 1, 2, \ldots, t_{\mathrm{III}}$.

The next proposition follows immediately from (H3) and (H4).

Proposition 3.2. *Let r be a positive integer and let s be an even positive integer. Then an abelian code $\mathcal{C}$ in $\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s)[G]$ is Hermitian self-dual if and only if, in the decomposition (H3),*

i) E_j is Hermitian self-dual for all $j = 1, 2, \ldots, t_{\mathrm{II}'}$, and

ii) $F'_k = F_k^{\perp_{\mathrm{E}}}$ for all $k = 1, 2, \ldots, t_{\mathrm{III}'}$.

Necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of Euclidean and Hermitian self-dual abelian codes in $\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s)[G]$ are given as follows. The conditions for the Euclidean case have been proven in [19, Theorem 1.1]. Here, we provide a simpler alternative proof.

Proposition 3.3. *Let r and s be positive integers. Let G be a finite abelian group. Then there exists a Euclidean self-dual abelian code in $\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s)[G]$ if and only if one of the following statements holds,*

i) r is even, or

ii) $p = 2$ and $|G|$ is even.

In addition, if s is even, then the conditions are equivalent to the existence of a Hermitian self-dual abelian code in $\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s)[G]$.

Proof. Assume that G is decomposed as $G = A \oplus P$, where $p \nmid |A|$ and P is the Sylow p-subgroup of G of order p^a, where $a \geq 0$.

From (E3), assume that the code

$$\mathcal{C} \cong \left(\prod_{i=1}^{t_{\mathrm{I}}} U_i \right) \times \left(\prod_{j=1}^{t_{\mathrm{II}}} V_j \right) \times \left(\prod_{k=1}^{t_{\mathrm{III}}} (W_k \times W'_k) \right)$$

is Euclidean self-dual in $\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s)[G]$. Then, by Proposition 3.1, U_1 is Euclidean self-dual in $\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s)[P]$. It follows that $|U_1| = (p^s)^{rp^a/2}$ and $rp^a/2$ is an integer. Hence, r is even, or $p = 2$ and $a \geq 1$.

For the converse, if r is even, then $p^{r/2}\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s)[G]$ is Euclidean self-dual. Assume that r is odd, $p = 2$ and $|G|$ is even. Let $r' = \lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil$. Then $|P| = 2^a$ with $a \geq 1$ and $r = 2r' - 1$. Since the order of P is even, P contains an element x of order 2. Define

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{C} \cong & \left(\prod_{i=1}^{t_{\mathrm{I}}} \left(2^{r'}\mathrm{GR}(2^r, s)[P] + 2^{r'-1}\mathrm{GR}(2^r, s)[P](Y^x + 1) \right) \right) \\ & \times \left(\prod_{j=1}^{r_{\mathrm{II}}} \left(2^{r'}\mathrm{GR}(2^r, 2se_j)[P] + 2^{r'-1}\mathrm{GR}(2^r, 2se_j)[P](Y^x + 1) \right) \right) \\ & \times \left(\prod_{k=1}^{t_{\mathrm{III}}} \left(\mathrm{GR}(2^r, sf_k)[P] \times \{0\} \right) \right).\end{aligned}$$

We prove that $\mathcal{C}$ is Euclidean self-dual. By Proposition 3.1, it is sufficient to show that

$$U := 2^{r'}\mathrm{GR}(2^r, s)[P] + 2^{r'-1}\mathrm{GR}(2^r, s)[P](Y^x + 1)$$

is Euclidean self-dual and

$$V_j := 2^{r'}\mathrm{GR}(2^r, 2se_j)[P] + 2^{r'-1}\mathrm{GR}(2^r, 2se_j)[P](Y^x + 1)$$

is Hermitian self-dual for all $j = 1, 2 \ldots, t_{\mathrm{II}}$.

Let $\boldsymbol{u} = 2^{r'}\boldsymbol{e} + 2^{r'-1}\boldsymbol{e}'(Y^x + 1)$ and $\boldsymbol{v} = 2^{r'}\boldsymbol{f} + 2^{r'-1}\boldsymbol{f}'(Y^x + 1)$ be elements in U, where $\boldsymbol{e}$, $\boldsymbol{e}'$, $\boldsymbol{f}$, and $\boldsymbol{f}'$ are in $\mathrm{GR}(2^r, s)[P]$. Since $r = 2r' - 1$ and $x = -x$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v} \rangle_{\mathrm{E}} &= \langle 2^{r'}\boldsymbol{e}, 2^{r'}\boldsymbol{f} \rangle_{\mathrm{E}} + \langle 2^{r'}\boldsymbol{e}, 2^{r'-1}\boldsymbol{f}'(Y^x + 1) \rangle_{\mathrm{E}} \\ &\quad + \langle 2^{r'-1}\boldsymbol{e}'(Y^x + 1), 2^{r'}\boldsymbol{f} \rangle_{\mathrm{E}} + \langle 2^{r'-1}\boldsymbol{e}'(Y^x + 1), 2^{r'-1}\boldsymbol{f}'(Y^x + 1) \rangle_{\mathrm{E}} \\ &= 2^{r-1}\langle \boldsymbol{e}'(Y^x + 1), \boldsymbol{f}'(Y^x + 1) \rangle_{\mathrm{E}} \\ &= 2^{r-1}\left(\langle \boldsymbol{e}'Y^x, \boldsymbol{f}'Y^x \rangle_{\mathrm{E}} + \langle \boldsymbol{e}'Y^x, \boldsymbol{f}' \rangle_{\mathrm{E}} + \langle \boldsymbol{e}', \boldsymbol{f}'Y^x \rangle_{\mathrm{E}} + \langle \boldsymbol{e}', \boldsymbol{f}' \rangle_{\mathrm{E}} \right) \\ &= 2^{r-1}\left(2\langle \boldsymbol{e}', \boldsymbol{f}' \rangle_{\mathrm{E}} + 2\langle \boldsymbol{e}'Y^x, \boldsymbol{f}' \rangle_{\mathrm{E}} \right) \\ &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

It is not difficult to verify that

$$|U| = \frac{|2^{r'}\mathrm{GR}(2^r,s)[P]||2^{r'-1}\mathrm{GR}(2^r,s)[P](Y^x+1)|}{|(2^{r'}\mathrm{GR}(2^r,s)[P]) \cap (2^{r'-1}\mathrm{GR}(2^r,s)[P](Y^x+1))|}$$
$$= \frac{(2^s)^{(r'-1)2^a}(2^s)^{r'2^a/2}}{(2^s)^{(r'-1)2^a/2}} = (2^s)^{r2^{a-1}}.$$

Therefore, U is Euclidean self-dual.

Using arguments similar to the above, we can see that V_j is Hermitian self-dual for all $j = 1, 2 \ldots, t_{\mathrm{II}}$.

For the Hermitian case, we assume that s is even. The proof of the sufficiency is similar to the Euclidean case, except that (H3) and Proposition 3.2 are applied.

For the converse, if r is even, then $p^{r/2}\mathrm{GR}(p^r,s)[G]$ is Hermitian self-dual. Assume that r is odd, $p = 2$ and $|G|$ is even. Then P contains an element x of order 2. Let $r' = \lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil$ and define

$$\mathcal{C} \cong \left(\prod_{j=1}^{r_{\mathrm{II}'}} \left(2^{r'}\mathrm{GR}(2^r, s\acute{e}_j)[P] + 2^{r'-1}\mathrm{GR}(2^r, s\acute{e}_j)[P](Y^x+1) \right) \right)$$
$$\times \left(\prod_{k=1}^{t_{\mathrm{III}'}} \left(\mathrm{GR}(2^r, s\acute{f}_k)[P] \times \{0\} \right) \right).$$

By arguments similar to those in the proof of the Euclidean case, we can verity that $2^{r'}\mathrm{GR}(2^r, s\acute{e}_j)[P] + 2^{r'-1}\mathrm{GR}(2^r, s\acute{e}_j)[P](Y^x+1)$ is Hermitian self-dual for all $j = 1, 2, \ldots, t_{\mathrm{II}'}$. Therefore, $\mathcal{C}$ is Hermitian self-dual by Proposition 3.2. □

3.2. General Results for Enumeration of Self-Dual Abelian Codes

To determine the numbers of Euclidean and Hermitian self-dual abelian codes, we need some group-theoretic and number-theoretic results. For completeness, we recall the following results.

For a finite group A and a positive integer d, let $\mathcal{N}_A(d)$ denote the number of elements in A of order d.

Lemma 3.4 ([3, Theorem 1])**.** *Let A be an abelian p-group of order p^t, where p is a prime number and $t \geq 1$ is an integer. Write $A = \prod_{i=1}^{t} (\mathbb{Z}_{p^i})^{n_i}$, where n_i is*

a non-negative integer for all $1 \le i \le t$. *Define* $N = \sum_{i=1}^{t} n_i$, $s_0 = 0$, $s_1 = N$, *and* $s_i = iN + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1}(j-i)$ *for all* $2 \le i \le t$. *Then, for all* $1 \le i \le t$, *we have*

$$\mathcal{N}_A(p^i) = p^{s_i} - p^{s_{i-1}}.$$

Lemma 3.5 ([3])**.** *Assume that* $A = \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} A_i$, *where* A_i *is an abelian* p_i*-group and* p_i *is a prime number for all* $i = 1, 2, \ldots, \ell$. *If* $d = p_1^{b_1} p_2^{b_2} \ldots p_\ell^{b_\ell}$ *is a divisor of the exponent of* A, *then*

$$\mathcal{N}_A(d) = \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} \mathcal{N}_{A_i}(p_i^{b_i}).$$

Let q be a prime power and let j be a positive integer. The pair (j, q) is said to be *oddly good* if j divides $q^t + 1$ for some odd integer $t \ge 1$, and *evenly good* if j divides $q^t + 1$ for some even integer $t \ge 2$. It is said to be *good* if it is oddly good or evenly good, and *bad* otherwise. In the case where q is a power of 2, these notions have been introduced in [9], [10], and [11]. The following properties extend in a straightforward manner to the case where q is a power of an odd prime, and the proof is omitted.

Let χ and λ be functions defined on the pair (j, q), where j is a positive integer, as follows.

$$\chi(j,q) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } (j,q) \text{ is good,} \\ 1 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

and

$$\lambda(j,q) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } (j,q) \text{ is oddly good,} \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{3.2}$$

The following two lemmas are extended from the case where q is a power of 2 in [10] and [11]. The readers may refer to [10, Lemma 4.5] and [11, Lemma 7] for the idea of the proofs.

Lemma 3.6. *Let* A *be a finite abelian group such that* $\gcd(|A|, p) = 1$ *and let* $h \in A$. *Then* $S_{p^s}(h)$ *is of type* Ⅲ *if and only if* $(\mathrm{ord}(h), p^s)$ *is bad.*

Lemma 3.7. *Let A be a finite abelian group such that $\gcd(|A|, p) = 1$ and let $h \in A \setminus \{0\}$. Then $S_{p^s}(h)$ is of type $\mathbb{III}'$ if and only if $(\mathrm{ord}(h), p^{s/2})$ is evenly good or bad.*

Utilizing the decomposition in Section 2 and the discussion above, we obtain the following formulas for the numbers of Euclidean and Hermitian self-dual abelian codes in $\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s)[G]$, where G is an arbitrary finite abelian group. Without loss of generality, we assume that $G = A \oplus P$, where P is a finite abelian p-group and A is a finite abelian group such that $p \nmid |A|$.

Theorem 3.8. *Let p be a prime and let s, r be integers such that $1 \le s$ and $1 \le r$. Let A be a finite abelian group of exponent M such that $p \nmid M$ and let P be a finite abelian p-group. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} &NEA(\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s)[A \oplus P]) \\ &\quad = (NEA(\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s)[P]))^{\sum_{d \mid M, \mathrm{ord}_d(p^s)=1} (1-\chi(d,p^s))\mathcal{N}_A(d)} \\ &\qquad \times \prod_{\substack{d \mid M \\ \mathrm{ord}_d(p^s) \neq 1}} (NHA(\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s \cdot \mathrm{ord}_d(p^s))[P]))^{(1-\chi(d,p^s))\frac{\mathcal{N}_A(d)}{\mathrm{ord}_d(p^s)}} \\ &\qquad \times \prod_{d \mid M} (NA(\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s \cdot \mathrm{ord}_d(p^s))[P]))^{\chi(d,p^s)\frac{\mathcal{N}_A(d)}{2\mathrm{ord}_d(p^s)}} . \end{aligned}$$

In addition, if s is even, then

$$\begin{aligned} NHA(\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s)[A \oplus P]) &= \prod_{d \mid M} (NHA(\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s \cdot \mathrm{ord}_d(p^s))[P]))^{(1-\lambda(d,p^{\frac{s}{2}}))\frac{\mathcal{N}_A(d)}{\mathrm{ord}_d(p^s)}} \\ &\quad \times \prod_{d \mid M} (NA(\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s \cdot \mathrm{ord}_d(p^s))[P]))^{\lambda(d,p^{\frac{s}{2}})\frac{\mathcal{N}_A(d)}{2\mathrm{ord}_d(p^s)}} . \end{aligned}$$

Proof. First, we consider the Euclidean case. From (E3) and Proposition 3.1, it is sufficient to count the numbers of Euclidean self-dual abelian codes U_i's, the numbers of Hermitian self-dual abelian codes V_i's, and the numbers of abelian codes W_i's which correspond to the p^s-cyclotomic classes of types I, II, and III, respectively.

From [12, Remark 2.5], we note that the elements in A of the same order are partitioned into p^s-cyclotomic classes of the same type. For each divisor

d of M, a p^s-cyclotomic class containing an element of order d has cardinality $\mathrm{ord}_d(p^s)$, and hence, the number of such p^s-cyclotomic classes is $\frac{\mathcal{N}_A(d)}{\mathrm{ord}_d(p^s)}$.

For each divisor d of M, we consider the following 3 cases.

Case 1. $\chi(d, p^s) = 0$ and $\mathrm{ord}_d(p^s) = 1$.

By Lemma 3.6, every p^s-cyclotomic class of A containing an element of order d is of type I. Since there are $\frac{\mathcal{N}_A(d)}{\mathrm{ord}_d(p^s)}$ such p^s-cyclotomic classes, the number of Euclidean self-dual abelian codes U_i's coresponding to d is

$$(NEA(\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s \cdot \mathrm{ord}_d(p^s))[P]))^{\frac{\mathcal{N}_A(d)}{\mathrm{ord}_d(p^s)}} = (NEA(\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s)[P]))^{(1-\chi(d,p^s))\mathcal{N}_A(d)}.$$

Case 2. $\chi(d, p^s) = 0$ and $\mathrm{ord}_d(p^s) \neq 1$.

By Lemma 3.6, every p^s-cyclotomic class of A containing an element of order d is of type II. Since there are $\frac{\mathcal{N}_A(d)}{\mathrm{ord}_d(p^s)}$ such p^s-cyclotomic classes, the number of Hermitian self-dual abelian codes V_i's coresponding to d is

$$\begin{aligned}&(NHA(\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s \cdot \mathrm{ord}_d(p^s))[P]))^{\frac{\mathcal{N}_A(d)}{\mathrm{ord}_d(p^s)}} \\ &\qquad = (NHA(\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s \cdot \mathrm{ord}_d(p^s))[P]))^{(1-\chi(d,p^s))\frac{\mathcal{N}_A(d)}{\mathrm{ord}_d(p^s)}}.\end{aligned}$$

Case 3. $\chi(d, p^s) = 1$.

By Lemma 3.6, every p^s-cyclotomic class of A containing an element of order d is of type III. Since there are $\frac{\mathcal{N}_A(d)}{\mathrm{ord}_d(p^s)}$ such p^s-cyclotomic classes, the number of abelian codes W_i's coresponding to d is

$$(NA(\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s \cdot \mathrm{ord}_d(p^s))[P]))^{\frac{\mathcal{N}_A(d)}{\mathrm{ord}_d(p^s)}} (NA(\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s \cdot \mathrm{ord}_d(p^s))[P]))^{\chi(d,p^s)\frac{\mathcal{N}_A(d)}{2\mathrm{ord}_d(p^s)}}.$$

Since d runs over all divisors of M, we conclude the desired result.

For the Hermitian case, by Proposition 3.2, it suffices to count the numbers of Hermitian self-dual abelian codes E_i's and the numbers of abelian codes F_i's in (H3) which correspond to the p^s-cyclotomic classes of types II′ and III′, respectively. Considering the cases where $\lambda(d, p^{\frac{s}{2}}) = 1$ and where $\lambda(d, p^{\frac{s}{2}}) = 0$, the desired result can be obtained similary to the Euclidean case, where Lemma 3.7 is applied instead of Lemma 3.6. □

Note that, if A is a cyclic group, the exponent M is just the cardinality of A and $\mathcal{N}_A(d)$ is just $\phi(d)$, where ϕ is an Euler's totient function.

In Theorem 3.8, if P is cyclic of order p^a, then the values NA, NEA and NHA may be replaced by NC, NEC, and NHC, respectively. We note that these values are not known in the literature. Some special cases are discussed in the next section.

4. Some Special Cases

In this section, based on Theorem 3.8, we give the complete enumerations of Euclidean and Hermitian self-dual abelian codes in $\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s)[G]$ in the cases where $i)$ $\gcd(p, |G|) = 1$; and $ii)$ $r = 2$ and the Sylow p-subgroup of G is cyclic.

4.1. Self-Dual Abelian Codes in $\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s)[A]$, $\gcd(p, |A|) = 1$

In this subsection, we complete the enumeration of Euclidean and Hermitian self-dual abelian codes in $\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s)[A]$, where $\gcd(p, |A|) = 1$, or equivalently, $\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s)[A]$ is a principal ideal group ring (see Proposition 4.1). If A is cyclic, this case is identical with that of simple root cyclic codes.

Proposition 4.1. *Let p be a prime number and let r, s be positive integers. Let G be a finite abelian group. Then one of the following statements holds.*

i) If $r = 1$, then $\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s)[G]$ is a principal ideal ring if and only if the Sylow p-subgroup of G is cyclic.

ii) If $r \geq 2$, then $\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s)[G]$ is a principal ideal ring if and only if $\gcd(p, |G|) = 1$.

For $r = 1$, the statement has been proven in [7]. For $r \geq 2$, using notion of morphic rings (see the definition in [4]), it has been shown that $\mathbb{Z}_{p^r}[G]$ is principal ideal if and only if $\gcd(p, |G|) = 1$ (see [6, Theorem 1.2] and [4, Theorem 3.12 and Corollary 3.13]). The statements can be extened naturally to the case of $\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s)[G]$.

For completeness, we give a complete proof of $ii)$ which requires the following results. Recall that a commutative ring R is said to be *special* if there exist $c \in R$ and a positive integer n such that $c^{n-1} \neq 0$ and $\{0\} = c^n R \subsetneq c^{n-1} R \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq$

$cR \subsetneq R$ are the only ideals of R (see [4, Lemma 2.9]). It is not dificult to see that every special ring is principal ideal and $\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s)$ is special with $c = p$ and $n = r$.

Lemma 4.2 ([4, Lemma 3.9])**.** *If R is acommutative special ring and a positive integer m is invertible in R, then $R[\mathbb{Z}_m]$ is a direct sum of finitely many commutative special rings.*

Proof of Proposition 4.1. It remains to prove *ii*). Assume that $G = \prod_{i=1}^{t} \mathbb{Z}_{n_i}$, where $\mathbb{Z}_{n_i}$ is an additive cyclic code of order $n_i \geq 2$ for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots, t$.

Assume that $\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s)[G]$ is a principal ideal ring. Then, by [4, Corollary 2.3], $\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s)[\mathbb{Z}_{n_i}]$ is principal ideal for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots, t$. By [17, Theorem 3.4], $\gcd(p, n_i) = 1$ for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots, t$, and hence, $\gcd(p, |G|) = 1$.

Conversely, assume that $\gcd(p, |G|) = 1$. We prove by induction on t. Sine n_1 is invertible in $\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s)$, by Lemma 4.2, $\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s)[\mathbb{Z}_{n_i}]$ is a direct sum of finitely many commutative special rings. Assume that $\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s)[\prod_{i=1}^{t-1} \mathbb{Z}_{n_i}] = R_1 \oplus R_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus R_k$, where R_i is a commutative special ring for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{GR}(p^r, s)[\prod_{i=1}^{t} \mathbb{Z}_{n_i}] &\equiv \left(\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s)[\prod_{i=1}^{t-1} \mathbb{Z}_{n_i}] \right) [\mathbb{Z}_{n_t}] \\ &= R_1[\mathbb{Z}_{n_t}] \oplus R_2[\mathbb{Z}_{n_t}] \oplus \cdots \oplus R_k[\mathbb{Z}_{n_t}]. \end{aligned}$$

Since n_t is invertible in R_i for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$, by Lemma 4.2, $R_i[\mathbb{Z}_{n_t}]$ is a direct sum of finitely many commutative special rings. Then so is $\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s)[\prod_{i=1}^{t} \mathbb{Z}_{n_i}]$. Therefor, it is a direct sum of finitely many commutative principal rings which is principal ideal. ■

The enumerations of Euclidean and Hermitian self-dual abelian codes in a principal ideal group ring $\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s)[A]$ is given as follows.

Theorem 4.3. *Let p be a prime and let s, r be positive integers. Let A be a finite abelian group of exponent M such that* $\gcd(p, |A|) = 1$. *Then*

$$NEA(\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s)[A]) = \begin{cases} (1+r)^{\sum_{d|M} \chi(d, p^s) \frac{\mathcal{N}_A(d)}{2\mathrm{ord}_d(p^s)}} & \text{if } r \text{ is even,} \\ 0 & \text{if } r \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

In addition, if s is even, then

$$NHA(\mathrm{GR}(p^r,s)[A]) = \begin{cases} (1+r)^{\sum\limits_{d|M} \lambda(d,p^{s/2})\frac{\mathcal{N}_A(d)}{2\mathrm{ord}_d(p^s)}} & \text{if } r \text{ is even,} \\ 0 & \text{if } r \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

There exists only the trivial Euclidean (resp., Hermitian) self-dual code $p^{r/2}\mathrm{GR}(p^r,s)[A]$ in $\mathrm{GR}(p^r,s)[A]$ if and only if (M,p^s) is good (resp., $(M,p^{s/2})$ is oddly good).

Proof. In $\mathrm{GR}(p^r,s)$, every ideal can be regarded as an abelian code in $\mathrm{GR}(p^r,s)[G]$ with $G=\{0\}$, and we have the following facts.

i) The number of abelian codes in $\mathrm{GR}(p^r,s)$ is $r+1$.

ii) If r is odd, then there are neither Euclidean self-dual abelian codes nor Hermitian self-dual abelian codes in $\mathrm{GR}(p^r,s)$.

iii) If r is even, then $r^{r/2}\mathrm{GR}(p^r,s)$ is the only Euclidean self-dual abelian code and it is the only Hermitian self-dual abelian code if s is even.

The above results hold true for any Galois extension of $\mathrm{GR}(p^r,s)$.

By considering $P=\{0\}$ in Theorem 3.8, the result follows immediately. □

Note that, if A is cyclic, M and $\mathcal{N}_A(d)$ can be replaced by the cardinality of A and $\phi(d)$, respectively, where ϕ is the Euler phi function.

If A is cyclic of order n with $\gcd(n,p)=1$, then the number of Euclidean self-dual cyclic codes of length n over $\mathrm{GR}(p^r,s)$ obtained in Theorem 4.3 is a special case of [1, Theorem 5.7] by viewing $\mathrm{GR}(p^r,s)$ as a finite chain ring of depth r.

4.2. Self-Dual Abelian Codes in $\mathrm{GR}(p^2,s)[A\oplus C_{p^a}]$

In this section, we restrict our study to the case where $r=2$ and $P=C_{p^a}$, a cyclic group of order p^a. The enumerations of Euclidean and Hermitian self-dual abelian codes in $\mathrm{GR}(p^2,s)[A\oplus C_{p^a}]$ can be obtained as an application of Theorem 3.8 and some known results on cyclic codes of length p^a over $\mathrm{GR}(p^2,s)$.

We recall some results on cyclic codes of length p^a over $\mathrm{GR}(p^2,s)$. The next lemma follows immediately from [13, Corollary 3.9] and [13, Theorem 3.6].

Lemma 4.4. *The number of cyclic codes of length p^a over $\mathrm{GR}(p^2, s)$ is*

$$NC(\mathrm{GR}(p^2, s), p^a) = 2 \sum_{d=0}^{p^a-1} \frac{p^{s(\min\{\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor, p^{a-1}\}+1)} - 1}{p^s - 1} + \frac{p^{s(p^{a-1}+1)} - 1}{p^s - 1}. \quad (4.1)$$

Proposition 4.5 ([14, Corollary 3.5])**.** *The number of Euclidean self-dual cyclic codes of length 2^a over $\mathrm{GR}(2^2, s)$ is*

$$NEC(\mathrm{GR}(2^2, s), 2^a) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a = 1, \\ 1 + 2^s & \text{if } a = 2, \\ 1 + 2^s + 2^{2s+1} \left(\frac{(2^s)^{(2^{a-2}-1)}-1}{2^s-1} \right) & \text{if } a \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

If p is an odd prime, then the number of Euclidean self-dual cyclic codes of length p^a over $\mathrm{GR}(p^2, s)$ is

$$NEC(\mathrm{GR}(p^2, s), p^a) = 2 \left(\frac{(p^s)^{(p^{a-1}+1)}/2 - 1}{p^s - 1} \right).$$

Proposition 4.6 ([12, Theorem 3.5])**.** *Let p be a prime and let s, a be positive integers such that s is even. Then the number of Hermitian self-dual cyclic codes of length p^a over $\mathrm{GR}(p^2, s)$ is*

$$NHC(\mathrm{GR}(p^2, s), p^a) = \sum_{i_1=0}^{p^{a-1}} p^{si_1/2} = \frac{p^{s(p^{a-1}+1)/2} - 1}{p^{s/2} - 1}.$$

Remark 4.7. For cyclic codes of length p^a over $\mathrm{GR}(p^2, s)$, the numbers NC, NEC, and NHC have already been determined in Lemma 4.4, Proposition 4.5, and Proposition 4.6, respectively. Combining these results and Theorem 3.8, the numbers $NEA(\mathrm{GR}(p^2, s)[A \oplus C_{p^a}])$ and $NHA(\mathrm{GR}(p^2, s)[A \oplus C_{p^a}])$ are explicitly determined.

The numbers of Euclidean and Hermitian self-dual cyclic codes of arbitrary length n over $\mathrm{GR}(p^2, s)$ can be obtained as a corollary of Remark 4.7. Some parts of the formulas can be simplified as in the next corollary.

Corollary 4.8. *Let p be a prime and let s, n be positive integers. Write $n = mp^a$, where $a \geq 0$ and $p \nmid m$. Then*

$$NEC(\mathrm{GR}(p^2, s), n) = \big(NEC(\mathrm{GR}(p^2, s), p^a)\big)^{\eta(m)} \prod_{\substack{d|m \\ d \notin \{1,2\}}} \big(NHC(\mathrm{GR}(p^2, s \cdot \mathrm{ord}_d(p^s)), p^a)\big)^{(1-\chi(d,p^s))\frac{\phi(d)}{\mathrm{ord}_d(p^s)}} \times \prod_{d|m} \big(NC(\mathrm{GR}(p^2, s \cdot \mathrm{ord}_d(p^s)), p^a)\big)^{\chi(d,p^s)\frac{\phi(d)}{2\mathrm{ord}_d(p^s)}},$$

where

$$\eta(m) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } m \text{ is odd,} \\ 2 & \text{if } m \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

In addition, if s is even, then

$$NHC(\mathrm{GR}(p^2, s), n) = \prod_{d|m} \big(NHC(\mathrm{GR}(p^2, s \cdot \mathrm{ord}_d(p^s)), p^a)\big)^{(1-\lambda(d,p^{\frac{s}{2}}))\frac{\phi(d)}{\mathrm{ord}_d(p^s)}} \times \prod_{d|m} \big(NC(\mathrm{GR}(p^2, s \cdot \mathrm{ord}_d(p^s)), p^a)\big)^{\lambda(d,p^{\frac{s}{2}})\frac{\phi(d)}{2\mathrm{ord}_d(p^s)}}.$$

Proof. Setting $r = 2$ and A a cyclic group of order m in Theorem 3.8, the exponent of A is m and $\mathcal{N}_A(d)$ is just $\phi(d)$, where ϕ is the Euler's function.

Note that $S_{p^s}(0)$ is the only p^s-cyclotomic class of A of type I if m is odd, and $S_{p^s}(0)$ and $S_{p^s}(\frac{m}{2})$ are the only p^s-cyclotomic classes of A of type I if m is even. Therefore, the values of $\eta(m)$ follows. □

5. Conclusion

We have studied Euclidean and Hermitian self-dual abelian codes in $\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s)[G]$, a group ring of a finite abelian group G over a Galois ring $\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s)$. We have characterized such codes as well as determined necessary and sufficient conditions for $\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s)[G]$ to contain a Euclidean (resp, Hermitian) self-dual abelian code. For any finite abelian group G and Galois ring $\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s)$, the enumerations of such self-dual codes have been given but the enumeration formulas conatain terms which have not been well studied. In the case where

$\gcd(|G|, p) = 1$, the enumeration has been completed by restricting the Sylow p-subgroup to be $\{0\}$. Applying some known results on cyclic codes of length p^a over $\mathrm{GR}(p^2, s)$, we have determined explicitly the numbers of Euclidean and Hermitian self-dual abelian codes in $\mathrm{GR}(p^2, s)[G]$ if the Sylow p-subgroup of G is cyclic. As corollaries, analogous results on Euclidean and Hermitian self-dual cyclic codes over $\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s)$ have been concluded.

It would be interesting to study the unknown terms in Theorem 3.8 and extend the results to abelian codes over finite chain rings or the case where the Sylow p-subgroup of the group is not cyclic.

Appendix A

In this appendix, we discuss the Euclidean and Hermitian duals of abelian codes in $\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s)[G]$. First, we recall that $G = A \oplus P$, where P is the Sylow p-subgroup of G and A is a complementary subgroup of P in G. The group ring $\mathcal{R} := \mathrm{GR}(p^r, s)[A]$ is decomposed as in (E1) or (H1), and $\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s)[G] \cong \mathcal{R}[P]$.

A.1. Euclidean Duality

Let ψ denote the isomorphism in (E1). For each element $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathcal{R}$, we can write

$$\psi(\boldsymbol{x}) = (x_1, \ldots, x_{r_{\mathrm{I}}}, y_1, \ldots, y_{r_{\mathrm{II}}}, z_1, z'_1, \ldots, z_{r_{\mathrm{III}}}, z'_{r_{\mathrm{III}}}), \tag{A.1}$$

where $x_i \in \mathrm{GR}(p^r, s)$, $y_j \in \mathrm{GR}(p^r, 2se_j)$, and $z_k, z'_k \in \mathrm{GR}(p^r, sf_k)$ for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots, r_{\mathrm{I}}$, $j = 1, 2, \ldots, r_{\mathrm{II}}$, and $k = 1, 2, \ldots, r_{\mathrm{III}}$.

We are going to view $\widehat{\boldsymbol{x}}$ defined in Section 2 in terms of (A.1).

We note that, for $\boldsymbol{c} = \sum_{a \in A} c_a Y^a \in \mathrm{GR}(p^2, s)[A]$, we have

$$\widehat{\boldsymbol{c}} = \sum_{a \in A} c_a Y^{-a} = \sum_{a \in A} c_{-a} Y^a.$$

Then $\breve{\boldsymbol{c}} = \sum_{a \in A} \breve{d}_a Y^a$, where

$$\breve{d}_a = \sum_{h \in A} c_{-h} \zeta^{\gamma_a(h)}.$$

From (2.3), we can see that, if $S_{p^s}(h)$ is of type I, then

$$\breve{d}_h = \breve{c}_h, \tag{A.2}$$

and if $S_{p^s}(h)$ is of type II with cardinality 2ν, then $-h = p^{s\nu} \cdot h$ by Remark 2.4. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\breve{d}_h &= \sum_{a\in A} c_{-a}\zeta^{\gamma_h(a)} \\
&= \sum_{a\in A} c_a\zeta^{\gamma_{-h}(a)} \\
&= \sum_{a\in A} c_a\zeta^{\gamma_{p^{s\nu}\cdot h}(a)} \\
&= \sum_{a\in A} c_a \left(\zeta^{\gamma_h(a)}\right)^{p^{s\nu}} \\
&= \theta(\breve{c}_h),
\end{aligned} \tag{A.3}$$

where $\theta(\alpha) = \alpha_0^{p^{s\nu}} + \alpha_1^{p^{s\nu}} p + \cdots + \alpha_{r-1}^{p^{s\nu}} p^{r-1}$ for all $\alpha = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 p + \cdots + \alpha_{r-1}p^{r-1}$.

Therefore, by the isomorphism ψ (see also [15]), the following properties are obtained.

1. From (A.2), the involution $\widehat{\ }$ induces the identity automorphism on $\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s)$.
2. From (A.3), the involution $\widehat{\ }$ induces the ring automorphism $\bar{\ }$ on $\mathrm{GR}(p^r, 2se_j)$ as defined in (2.1), *i.e.*,

   $$\overline{\alpha} = \alpha_0^{p^{se_j}} + \alpha_1^{p^{se_j}} p + \cdots + \alpha_{r-1}^{p^{se_j}} p^{r-1}$$

   for all $\alpha = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 p + \cdots + \alpha_{r-1}p^{r-1}$ in $\mathrm{GR}(p^r, 2se_j)$, where $\alpha_i \in \mathcal{T}_{2se_j}$ for all $i = 0, 1, \ldots, r-1$.
3. For each pair $(z, z') \in \mathrm{GR}(p^r, sf_k) \times \mathrm{GR}(p^r, sf_k)$, we have

   $$\widehat{\psi^{-1}(z, z')} = \psi^{-1}(z', z).$$

From the discussion, we have

$$\psi(\widehat{\boldsymbol{x}}) = (x_1, \ldots, x_{r_{\mathrm{I}}}, \overline{y_1}, \ldots, \overline{y_{r_{\mathrm{II}}}}, z'_1, z_1, \ldots, z'_{r_{\mathrm{III}}}, z_{r_{\mathrm{III}}}),$$

where $\bar{\ }$ is induced as above in an appropriate Galois extension.

Proposition A.1. *Let* $\boldsymbol{x} = \sum_{b\in P} \boldsymbol{x}_b Y^b$ *and* $\boldsymbol{u} = \sum_{b\in P} \boldsymbol{u}_b Y^b$ *be elements in* $\mathcal{R}[P]$. *Decomposing* $\boldsymbol{x}_b, \boldsymbol{u}_b$ *using (A.1), we have*

$$\psi(\boldsymbol{x}_b) = (x_{b,1}, \ldots, x_{b,r_{\mathrm{I}}}, y_{b,1}, \ldots, y_{b,r_{\mathrm{II}}}, z_{b,1}, z'_{b,1}, \ldots, z_{b,r_{\mathrm{III}}}, z'_{b,r_{\mathrm{III}}})$$

and

$$\psi(\boldsymbol{u}_b) = (u_{b,1}, \ldots, u_{b,r_{\mathrm{I}}}, v_{b,1}, \ldots, v_{b,r_{\mathrm{II}}}, w_{b,1}, w'_{b,1}, \ldots, w_{b,r_{\mathrm{III}}}, w'_{b,r_{\mathrm{III}}}).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(\langle \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{u}\rangle_{\frown}) &= \psi\left(\sum_{b\in P} \boldsymbol{x}_b \widehat{\boldsymbol{u}_b}\right) = \sum_{b\in P} \psi(\boldsymbol{x}_b)\psi(\widehat{\boldsymbol{u}_b}) \\ &= \left(\sum_{b\in P} x_{b,1}u_{b,1}, \ldots, \sum_{b\in P} x_{b,r_{\mathrm{I}}}u_{b,r_{\mathrm{I}}}, \sum_{b\in P} y_{b,1}\overline{v_{b,1}}, \ldots, \sum_{b\in P} y_{b,r_{\mathrm{II}}}\overline{v_{b,r_{\mathrm{II}}}},\right. \\ &\qquad \left.\sum_{b\in P} z_{b,1}w'_{b,1}, \sum_{b\in P} z'_{b,1}w_{b,1}, \ldots, \sum_{b\in P} z_{b,r_{\mathrm{III}}}w'_{b,r_{\mathrm{III}}}, \sum_{b\in P} z'_{b,r_{\mathrm{III}}}w_{b,r_{\mathrm{III}}}\right).\end{aligned}$$

In particular, $\langle \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{u}\rangle_{\frown} = 0$ *if and only if* $\psi(\langle \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{u}\rangle_{\frown}) = \mathbf{0}$, *or equivalently,*

$$\sum_{b\in P} x_{b,j}u_{b,j} = 0 \text{ for all } j = 1, 2, \ldots, r_{\mathrm{I}},$$

$$\sum_{b\in P} y_{b,j}\widetilde{v_{b,j}} = 0 \text{ for all } j = 1, 2, \ldots, r_{\mathrm{II}},$$

and

$$\sum_{b\in P} z_{b,j}w'_{b,j} = 0 = \sum_{b\in P} z'_{b,j}w_{b,j} \text{ for all } j = 1, 2, \ldots, r_{\mathrm{III}}.$$

Using the orthogonality in Proposition A.1, the Euclidean dual of $\mathcal{C}$ in (E3) can be viewed to be of the form

$$\mathcal{C}^{\perp_{\mathrm{E}}} \cong \left(\prod_{i=1}^{t_{\mathrm{I}}} U_i^{\perp_{\mathrm{E}}}\right) \times \left(\prod_{j=1}^{t_{\mathrm{II}}} V_j^{\perp_{\mathrm{H}}}\right) \times \left(\prod_{k=1}^{t_{\mathrm{III}}} \left((W'_k)^{\perp_{\mathrm{E}}} \times W_k^{\perp_{\mathrm{E}}}\right)\right). \tag{A.4}$$

A.2. Hermitian Duality

Let ψ denote the isomorphism in (H1). Then each element $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathcal{R}$, we can write

$$\psi(\boldsymbol{x}) = (x_1, \ldots, x_{t_{\mathrm{II}'}}, y_1, y'_1, \ldots, y_{t_{\mathrm{III}'}}, y'_{t_{\mathrm{III}'}}), \tag{A.5}$$

where $x_j \in \mathrm{GR}(p^r, s\acute{e}_j)$ and $y_k, y'_k \in \mathrm{GR}(p^r, s\acute{f}_k)$ for all $j = 1, 2, \ldots, t_{\mathbb{I}'}$ and $k = 1, 2, \ldots, t_{\mathbb{III}'}$.

We note that, for $\boldsymbol{c} = \sum_{a\in A} c_a Y^a \in \mathrm{GR}(p^r, s)[A]$, we have

$$\widetilde{\boldsymbol{c}} = \sum_{a\in A} \overline{c_a} Y^{-a} = \sum_{a\in A} \overline{c_{-a}} Y^a,$$

where $\overline{\alpha_0 + p\alpha_1 + \cdots + p^{r-1}\alpha_{r-1}} = \alpha_0^{p^{s/2}} + p\alpha_1^{p^{s/2}} + \cdots + p^{r-1}\alpha_{r-1}^{p^{s/2}}$. Then $\breve{\widetilde{\boldsymbol{c}}} = \sum_{a\in A} \breve{w}_a Y^a$, where

$$\breve{w}_a = \sum_{h\in A} \overline{c_{-h}} \zeta^{\gamma_a(h)}.$$

From (2.3), if $S_{p^s}(h)$ is of type $\mathbb{I}'$ with cardinality ν, then $-a = p^{s\nu/2} \cdot a$ by Remark 2.4. Since ν is odd, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\breve{w}_h &= \sum_{a\in A} \overline{c_{-a}} \zeta^{\gamma_h(a)} \\
&= \sum_{a\in A} \overline{c_a} \zeta^{\gamma_{-h}(a)} \\
&= \sum_{a\in A} \overline{c_a} \zeta^{\gamma_{p^{s\nu/2}\cdot h}(a)} \\
&= \sum_{a\in A} \overline{c_a} \left(\zeta^{\gamma_h(a)}\right)^{p^{s\nu/2}} \\
&= \theta(\breve{c}_h), \qquad \text{(A.6)}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\theta(\alpha) = \alpha_0^{p^{s\nu/2}} + \alpha_1^{p^{s\nu/2}} p + \cdots + \alpha_{r-1}^{p^{s\nu/2}} p^{r-1}$ for all $\alpha = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 p + \cdots + \alpha_{r-1} p^{r-1}$.

By the isomorphism ψ (see also [15]), we have the following properties.

1. By (A.6), the involution $\widetilde{\ }$ induces the ring automorphism $\bar{\ }$ on $\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s\acute{e}_j)$ as defined in (2.1), *i.e.*,

   $$\overline{\alpha} = \alpha_0^{p^{s\acute{e}_j/2}} + \alpha_1^{p^{s\acute{e}_j/2}} p + \cdots + \alpha_{r-1}^{p^{s\acute{e}_j/2}} p^{r-1}$$

   for all $\alpha = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 p + \cdots + \alpha_{r-1} p^{r-1}$ in $\mathrm{GR}(p^r, s\acute{e}_j)$, where $a_i \in \mathcal{T}_{s\acute{e}_j}$ for all $i = 0, 1, \ldots, r-1$.

2. For each pair $(z, z') \in \mathrm{GR}(p^r, s\acute{f}_k) \times \mathrm{GR}(p^r, s\acute{f}_k)$, we have

   $$\widetilde{\psi^{-1}(z, z')} = \psi^{-1}(z', z).$$

Hence, $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{x}}$ defined in Section 2 can be viewed in terms of (A.5) as

$$\psi(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{x}}) = (\overline{x_1}, \ldots, \overline{x_{t_{\mathrm{II}'}}}, y'_1, y_1, \ldots, y'_{r_{\mathrm{II}}}, y_{t_{\mathrm{III}'}}).$$

where $^-$ is induced as above in an appropriate Galois extension.

Proposition A.2. *Let* $\boldsymbol{x} = \sum_{b\in P} \boldsymbol{x}_b Y^b$ *and* $\boldsymbol{u} = \sum_{b\in P} \boldsymbol{u}_b Y^b$ *be elements in* $\mathcal{R}[P]$. *Decomposing* $\boldsymbol{x}_b, \boldsymbol{u}_b$ *using (A.5), we have*

$$\psi(\boldsymbol{x}_b) = (x_{b,1}, \ldots, x_{b,t_{\mathrm{II}'}}, y_{b,1}, y'_{b,1}, \ldots, y_{b,t_{\mathrm{III}'}}, y'_{b,t_{\mathrm{III}'}})$$

and

$$\psi(\boldsymbol{u}_b) = (u_{b,1}, \ldots, u_{b,t_{\mathrm{II}'}}, v_{b,1}, v'_{b,1}, \ldots, v_{b,t_{\mathrm{III}'}}, v'_{b,t_{\mathrm{III}'}}).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(\langle \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{u}\rangle_\sim) &= \psi\left(\sum_{b\in P} \boldsymbol{x}_b \widetilde{\boldsymbol{u}_b}\right) = \sum_{b\in P} \psi(\boldsymbol{x}_b)\psi(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{u}_b}) \\ &= \left(\sum_{b\in P} x_{b,1}\overline{u_{b,1}}, \ldots, \sum_{b\in P} x_{b,t_{\mathrm{II}'}}\overline{u_{b,t_{\mathrm{II}'}}}, \sum_{b\in P} y_{b,1}v'_{b,1},\right. \\ &\qquad \left. \sum_{b\in P} y'_{b,1}v_{b,1}, \ldots, \sum_{b\in P} y_{b,t_{\mathrm{III}'}}v'_{b,t_{\mathrm{III}'}}, \sum_{b\in P} y'_{b,t_{\mathrm{III}'}}v_{b,t_{\mathrm{III}'}}\right).\end{aligned}$$

In particular, $\langle \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{u}\rangle_\sim = 0$ *if and only if* $\psi(\langle \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{u}\rangle_\sim) = \mathbf{0}$, *or equivalently,*

$$\sum_{b\in P} x_{b,j}\overline{u_{b,j}} = 0 \text{ for all } j = 1, 2, \ldots, t_{\mathrm{II}'},$$

and

$$\sum_{b\in P} y_{b,k}v'_{b,k} = 0 = \sum_{b\in P} y'_{b,k}v_{b,k} \text{ for all } k = 1, 2, \ldots, t_{\mathrm{III}'}.$$

Using the orthogonality in Proposition A.2, the Hermitian dual of $\mathcal{C}$ in (H3) can be viewed of the form

$$\mathcal{C}^{\perp_{\mathrm{H}}} \cong \left(\prod_{j=1}^{t_{\mathrm{II}'}} E_j^{\perp_{\mathrm{H}}}\right) \times \left(\prod_{k=1}^{t_{\mathrm{III}'}} \left((F'_k)^{\perp_{\mathrm{E}}} \times F_k^{\perp_{\mathrm{E}}}\right)\right). \tag{A.7}$$

Acknowledgements

S. Jitman was supported by the Thailand Research Fund under Research Grant TRG5780065. S. Ling was partially supported by Nanyang Technological Universitys research grant number M4080456. The authors thank Patanee Udomkavanich for useful discussions.

References

References

[1] A. Batoul, K. Guenda, T. A. Gulliver, On self-dual cyclic codes over finite chain rings, *Des. Codes Cryptogr.,* (DOI 10.1007/s10623-012-9696-0).

[2] A. T. Benjamin, J. J. Quinn, *Proofs that Really Count: The Art of Combinatorial Proof,* Mathematical Association of America, Washington, DC, 2003.

[3] S. Benson, Students ask the darnedest things: A result in elementary group theory, *Math. Mag.,* 70 (1997), 207–211.

[4] J. Chen, Y. Li, Y. Zhou, Morphic group rings, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra,* 205 (2006), 621–639.

[5] H. Dinh, S. R. López-Permouth, Cyclic and negacyclic codes over finite chain rings, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, 50 (2004), 1728–1744.

[6] T. J. Dorsey, Morphic and principal-ideal group rings, *J. Algebra,* 318 (2007), 393–411.

[7] J. L. Fisher S. K. Sehgal, Principal ideal group rings, *Comm. Algebra,* 4 (1976), 319–325.

[8] A. R. Hammons Jr., P.V. Kumar, A. R. Calderbank, N. J. A. Sloane, P. Solé, The $\mathbb{Z}_4$ linearity of Kerdock, Preparata, Goethals and related codes, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory,* 40 (1994), 301–319.

[9] Y. Jia, S. Ling, C. Xing, On self-dual cyclic codes over finite fields, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory,* 57 (2011) , 2243–2251.

[10] S, Jitman, S. Ling, H. Liu, X. Xie, Abelian codes in principal ideal group algebras, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory,* 59 (2013), 3046–3058.

[11] S, Jitman, S. Ling, P. Solé, Hermitian self-dual abelian codes, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory,* 60 (2014), 1496–1507.

[12] S, Jitman, S. Ling, E. Sangwisut, Hermitian self-dual cyclic codes of length p^a over $\mathrm{GR}(p^2, s)$, *preprint,* 2013 (Available at http://arxiv.org/pdf/1401.6634v1.pdf).

[13] H. M. Kiah, K. H. Leung, S. Ling, Cyclic codes over $\mathrm{GR}(p^2, m)$ of length p^k, *Finite Fields Appl.,* 14 (2008), 834–846.

[14] H. M. Kiah, K. H. Leung, S. Ling, A note on cyclic codes over $\mathrm{GR}(p^2, m)$ of length p^k, *Des. Codes Cryptogr.,* 63 (2012), 105–112.

[15] T. Kiran, B. S. Rajan, Abelian codes over Galois rings closed under certain permutations, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory,* 49 (2003), 2242–2253.

[16] G. Nebe, E. M. Rains, N. J. A. Sloane, *Self-Dual Codes and Invariant Theory,* Algorithms and Computation in Mathematics vol. 17. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag, 2006.

[17] A. Sălăgean, Repeated-root cyclic and negacyclic codes over a finite chain ring, *Discrete Appl. Math.*, 154 (2006), 413–419.

[18] R. Sobhani, M. Esmaeili, A note on cyclic codes over $\mathrm{GR}(p^2, m)$ of length p^k, *Finite Fields Appl.,* 15 (2009), 387–391.

[19] W. Willems, A note on self-dual group codes, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory,* 48 (2002) , 3107–3109.

[20] Z. X. Wan, *Lectures on Finite Fields and Galois Rings,* World Scientific, New Jersey, 2003.